



Appendix C: Existing Planning/Management Tools



Rideau Strategic Planning

Mary Kirkham

2010

Rideau Strategic Planning Checklist Municipalities

Municipality	Type of Municipality	Date of Official Plan	OP review planned or under way Y/N	Date of zoning Bylaw	ZB review planned or under way Y/N	Contact Person
Catarauqui CA						
Drummond/North Elmsley	RURAL	Office Consolidation June 2004	Yes Under way	By-Law 2003-34	Yes Under way	Tracy Zander 613.267.6500 ext 215
South Frontenac County	RURAL	Approved by OMB Nov 25, 2003	YES	Jan 2005	No	Lindsay Mills
Kingston	URBAN 18% RURAL 82%	JAN 27, 2010	No	5 in effect (1974, 1975, 1976, 1996, & 1997)	Yes	George Wallace, Director of Planning and Development
Lanark County	URBAN 20% RURAL 80%	NONE	New OP being developed	NONE		Mary Kirkham, Planning Approvals Administrator
Leeds & Grenville County	RURAL	NONE	No	NONE	No	Sandy Hay
Leeds & Thousand Islands	RURAL/SEMI-URBAN	March 2006	No	November 2007	No	Amanda Werner-Mackeler Amanda@townshipsleeds.on.ca
Merrickville-Wolford	RURAL/URBAN	October 2006	NO	November 2008	No	Jill Eagle, CAO/Clerk
Montague	RURAL	May 25, 1981	Yes	November 29, 1977	Yes	Murray Hackett
NCC	URBAN/RURAL/SEMI-URBAN	N/A NCC has upper tier Plan for Canada's Capital (PFCC) and relevant Master Plans	Yes PFCC	N/A	N/A	Sandra Candow, Capital Planning Branch
North Grenville	SEMI-URBAN	May 11, 2009	No	Kemptville (Jan 11/1982); South Gower (Oct 6, 1987); Oxford (June 14, 1985)	Yes under way	Phillip Gerrard pgerrard@northgrenville.on.ca
Ottawa	URBAN/SUBURBAN/RURAL	OP Adopted May 2003, Comprehensive OPA-Adopted June 24, 2009 (Appeals-partially in effect)	No	Enacted June 25, 2008 (Appeals-partially in effect)	No	Don Morse/ Lorraine Stevens
Perth	URBAN	May 2000	Yes	October 2000	Yes	Eric Cosens Director of Planning
Rideau Lakes	RURAL	2004	Yes	Jan 2005	Yes	Sheldon Laidman
Rideau Valley CA						
Smiths Falls	URBAN	1994	Yes under way	1994	Yes under way	Elaine M. Mallory, Director of

							Administrative & Planning services 613.283.4124 ext 1109 emallory@smithsfalls.ca
South Frontenac							
Tay Valley	RURAL	August 2008	Planned starting in 2011	December 2008	Planned Starting in 2011	Noelle Reeve	
Westport	URBAN		No		No	Scott Bryce	

Rideau Strategic Planning Checklist Summary

Policy GENERAL	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Specific policies/objectives for the Rideau Canal / Rideau Corridor	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	Nothing in current plan proposed policies in new O.P. (Section 6.9, 6, 10 attached)	
	South Frontenac County	NO	
	Kingston	YES	Policies regarding the Canal and Kingston Fortifications in the Official Plan (OP) (2010).
	Lanark County	NO	
	Leeds & Grenville County	NO	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	YES	Development and site alteration subject to review by Rideau Waterfront Development Review Team
	Merrickville-Wolford	YES	
	Montague	In Development	Conservation of historic & scenic character of the Rideau Canal and ensure that new development sustains those values
	NCC	YES	Via PFCC, Core Area Sector Plan, pending Capital Urban Lands Master Plan
	North Grenville	YES	Rideau Canal National Historic Site (12.8) Goals (12.8.1) Policies (12.8.2) Cultural Heritage and Archaeological Resources (12.7)
	Ottawa	YES	Under the OP, in the implementation section, there are policies that refer to the Rideau Canal / River Directly, being: In the OP, Section 2.5.5 are policies that refer to Cultural Heritage Resources. OPA 76 introduced the following policy addition, changing reference of the Rideau Canal to a "UNESCO World Heritage Site" with specific mention to the Rideau River and the Rideau Canal. Specific Policy for the Rideau Canal is: " Rideau Canal UNESCO World Heritage Site 25. Parks Canada has jurisdiction for the Rideau Canal including both the bed of the

		<p>Canal and shore areas under its control. The Canal is a World Heritage Site, a National Historic Site and a Canadian Heritage River that is comprised of diverse landscapes rich in history, natural character and scenic beauty. The City will continue to partner with Parks Canada to promote the Rideau Canal UNESCO World Heritage Site.</p> <p>26. In conjunction with Parks Canada, and in order to recognize and protect the cultural heritage resource significance of the Rideau River and Canal, the City will undertake a study that is consistent with the World Heritage Site and National Historic Sites Management Plans for the Rideau Canal and Rideau River to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. identify and protect the cultural heritage landscapes, Algonquin history, and built heritage resources of the waterway; b. explore the introduction of design guidelines that can be implemented through the site plan control process, for new development along the waterway; c. identify measures to conserve the terrestrial and marine archaeological resources of the Rideau Canal. <p>27. Reference should also be made to Section 4.6.3 of this Plan with respect to development abutting the Rideau Canal.”</p> <p>4.2 Adjacent to Land-Use designations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This section states that Site Plan Control may be required and a Cultural Heritage Impact Statement shall be required “on lots that abut the Rideau Canal UNESCO World Heritage Site.” - An Environmental Impact Statement can be required where development is within 120 meters to a Natural Environment Area or within 30 meters of an Urban Natural Feature, 120 meters of a Rural Natural Feature, 120m of the boundary of identified significant habitat of endangered and threatened species, 50m of an Earth Science Area of Natural and Scientific Interest on Schedule K, and any proposed development within 120 metres of a natural heritage system feature not designated in the Plan in the rural area; and Any development proposed within 30 metres of a natural heritage system feature not designated in the Plan in the urban area. - No development is permitted Within 500 metres of a Limestone Resource Area or 300 metres of a Sand and Gravel Resource Area designated. <p>Also under 4.6– Cultural Heritage Resources, 4.6.3 River and Canal Corridors which specifically refers to the World Heritage designation.</p>
Perth	Some specific to Tay Basin Feature -Tay River Corridor is a recognized cultural landscape and heritage policies	There is a need for additional policies to recognize features and status of the Canal elements and to support establishment of design guidelines

		apply. Some Environmental Protection and Fish habitat policies apply. Trails policies apply to parts of the corridor.	
	Rideau Lakes	YES	General policies to respect the heritage aspect of the Canal. Also policies about historic importance of lock stations
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	YES	In OP only, new ZBL proposed to reflect OP policies
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	YES	O.P Section 2.23.1 Dev't Adjacent to water bodies requires lake impact study for Big Rideau Lake lot creation of 3 or more lots. Also 91 m front on Adam Lake required.
	Westport	NO	

Policy	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
GENERAL			
Specific Policies for Economic development / tourism objectives / strategies for the Rideau Canal / Rideau Corridor	Catarqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	No	
	Kingston	Yes	Policies regarding tourism and the Canal in the OP.
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes *	No official policies specific to TLT, but the township does have a strong focus on tourism. These objectives are tied in with those of other Municipalities in the County.
	Merrickville-Wolford	Yes	
	Montague	In development	Will be planned to preserve, complement and enhance cultural heritage resources along the canal. New development and redevelopment should not conflict with existing built heritage resources
	NCC	No	Possible visitor/tourist policies in updated PFCC
	North Grenville	Yes	Economic Development: 2.3-2.3.3 Tourism: 2.2.7
	Ottawa	Yes but not in a strong way	Economic Strategy Plan is one of four Growth Management Plans referenced in our Official Plan. The purpose of the Growth Management Plans is to focus on the strategic priorities needed to turn the OP principles into more concrete policy directions that can guide staff and City council in their decisions as they balance competing priorities. The Economic Strategy Plan has one of five strategic directions relating to tourism, being to Promote Ottawa to the World and more specifically to attracting tourism and conventions globally, however the is no specific mention to the Rideau Canal or River in this document.

	Town of Perth	None other than the trail policies and recognition of existing town parks	Is an issue that should be considered during the OP review.
	Rideau Lakes	No	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Yes	In OP only, new ZBL proposed to reflect OP policies
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	O.P.S. 1.3.3.11	To promote conservation of the historic and scenic character of the Rideau Canal as an UNESCO World Heritage site and to promote new development that sustains the value.
	Westport	Yes	Westport Harbor Strategic Plan

Policy GENERAL	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
General Policies for Cell Tower / Other Towers	Catarqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	Yes in Zoning By-Law	
	South Frontenac County	No	
	Kingston	Yes	Considered a generally permitted use in the OP, but not permitted in Residential or EPA designations. Discouraged from locating near Canal; consultation with Parks Canada required
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes	In Official Plan. Section 4.19.3 addresses communication facilities, which includes towers. Only permitted in certain land use designations, will require a re-zoning)
	Merrickville-Wolford	Yes	
	Montague	In Development	In accordance with Industry Canada's Radio Communications and Broadcasting Antennas Systems protocol
	NCC	No	Cell Phone towers are governed by Federal Level?
	North Grenville	No	
	Ottawa	Yes -- but not specific to the Rideau Canal or River	<p>3.1 – Generally Permitted Uses</p> <p>11. Wireless telecommunications towers are permitted in all land-use designations on Schedules A and B, except in Agricultural Resource Areas, Natural Environment Areas, Significant Wetlands South and East of the Canadian Shield, Urban Natural Features or in Flood Plains and Unstable Slopes shown on Schedule K. However, when a wireless communication facility is established in a Sand and Gravel or Limestone Resource Area, it will be considered as an interim use pending future extraction of mineral resources. Where new communication facilities, whether publicly or privately operated, are proposed, the City will minimize the number of privately-operated communications facilities in the rural area by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Encouraging proponents to share existing transmission towers in the vicinity to provide the same level of coverage; b. Encouraging communication companies to collaborate in the design of their coverage so that they can share transmission facilities; c. Encouraging companies to size new structures so that they can be shared in the future; <p>12. When reviewing development applications for new communications facilities, the City will ensure that ground level compounds and equipment facilities are attractively designed</p>

			and screened from public view.
Town of Perth	None	None	None
Rideau Lakes	No		
Rideau Valley CA			
Smiths Falls	Yes		Permitted as public use in all zones, subject to setback provisions of zone, to be maintain in "general harmony" with residential buildings in residential zones
South Frontenac			
Tay Valley	Are granted height exceptions in ZBL		
Westport	No		

Policy WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Setbacks • 30 m Beyond 30 m	Catarqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	30 m	
	South Frontenac County	Yes	OP and Zoning establish minimum 30 m setback from water
	Kingston	Yes	30m minimum required
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	- 30 metres required - For Highly Sensitive Lake Trout Lakes, the creation of new lots are generally not permitted within 300 metres of such lakes and development may only occur within 300 metres on existing lots of record	In the OP, 30 metres is stated to be a minimum and that this distance should be increased in areas with steep slopes, poor natural vegetative cover, and soil types which to not effectively retain phosphorus.
	Merrickville- Wolford	Yes 30 m Beyond 30 m	
	Montague	In Development	Minimum of 30 metres. More if site plan review determines a need for additional setback
	NCC	Respect municipal zoning (Ottawa)	
	North Grenville	Yes. 30m	Setbacks from Water: (12.20) Same setback policies also found in: 3.4 Policies Land Use Policies- Agriculture 5.2.3 Minor Additions to Existing Buildings And Structures Within the Floodplain 5.3 Erosion Hazards 5.4 Unstable Bedrock

			<p>5.5 Geotechnical Assessments</p> <p>6.0 Land Use Policies- (6.1-f)</p> <p>6.2.4.1 Significant Woodland General Policies</p> <p>6.2.5 Significant Wildlife Habitat</p> <p>6.2.6 Fish Habitat</p> <p>6.6 Ecological Buffers</p> <p>10.3.6 Policies (d)</p> <p>12.8.2 Policies (g)</p> <p>12.15 Non-conforming Uses (e) (v)</p>
	Ottawa	Yes	In the Zoning by-law but I did not get that far
	Town of Perth	<p>Not specifically addressed in the OP.</p> <p>Zoning standard is a 30 m setback from fish habitat.</p> <p>Beyond 30 m is controlled if land is within the flood plain or subject to RVCA regulation. 50 m setbacks from significant wildlife habitat or ANSI's 120m assessment buffer from Wetlands</p>	
	Rideau Lakes	30 m	Also require 30 m setback for septic systems
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Yes	Proposed OP only
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	30 m in ZBL Site plan control required unless 100m from water	
	Westport	30 M	

Policy	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT Permitted land uses – provide list of uses permitted within the setback above.	Catarauqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	Marina, Marine facility	
	South Frontenac County	Conservation Use	
	Kingston	Limited	Docks, boathouses, parks, conservation uses, and some public/private works/utilities (e.g. bridges).
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Structures which require a waterfront location for their function (such as marinas, docks, floating homes, boathouses, water intake facilities) are permitted	Permitted uses must be of a type and scale that minimizes the environmental, navigational and visual impacts
	Merrickville-Wolford	N/A	
	Montague	In Development	Docks, boathouses, pumphouses and other marine facilities accessory to permitted uses
	NCC	Existing Capital parkway and pathways	
	North Grenville	Yes	Rideau Waterway zoned: Hazard or Floodplain. See supplemental information for list of permitted uses
	Ottawa	Would have to research further to list uses.	The portion of the Rideau River/Canal in the Urban Area are designated: Major Open Space (Majority) – refer to Canal Central Area – refer to Canal General Urban Area Traditional Mainstreet (crossing over) Mixed Use Centre Urban Natural Features – refer to watercourses Enterprise Area

			<p>Natural Environment Area Developing Community</p> <p>The Rideau River/Canal at Manotick and further south are considered part of the Rural Area and is shown on Schedule A of the Official Plan. Designations along the canal are: Major Open Space – refer to Canal Village General Rural Area (majority of lands along canal designated) Agricultural Resource Area Rural Natural Features Area Significant Wetlands</p>
	Town of Perth	<p>Conservations uses – defined as non-commercial recreational uses that do not jeopardize the sensitive resource.</p> <p>150 sq ft unattached decking or gazebo 150 sq ft deck attached to dwelling Exemptions are boathouses, pump houses, and hot tubs</p>	
	Rideau Lakes		
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Open space, residential, core area, (commercial/tourism)	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	Dwelling, gazebos, accessible building, boathouse	
	Westport	Very limited, underdeveloped shoreline; primarily Grandfathered uses	

Policy	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT Flood plains / wetlands / natural heritage How closely do OP policies match CA regulations?	Cataraqi CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	Fairly well matched	
	South Frontenac County	Consistant	
	Kingston	Yes	Up-to-date because of new OP (2010)
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	OP policies match CA regulations quite closely.	Some minor discrepancies, such as the types of uses allowed within a flood plain. Otherwise, OP policies are generally not as specific as CA regulations and policies concerning these items (understandable). However, the OP often refers to consultation with the CA and/or applications needing to meet CA requirements.
	Merrickville-Wolford	CA regulations must be adhered to	
	Montague	In development	Policy requires consultation with R.V.C.A. and other applicable governing organizations
	NCC	N/A	
	North Grenville	Yes.	OP policies include CA regulation. Floodplains: "all floodplain lands are subject to the development regulations administered by the appropriate Conservation Authority pursuant to the <i>Conservation Authority Act</i> . Under these regulations, construction is prohibited unless prior written consent has been received from the Authority.
	Ottawa	CAs and MNR are referenced as the authority in many of the policies related to Flood Plains, Wetlands and Natural	Wetlands & Natural Heritage: See supplemental info.

		Heritage. The City has attempted to mirror the requirements that existed at the time of the OPA review.	
Town of Perth		Boundaries match r are interpreted to match	This issue will be considered during review to ensure any regulation changes are reflected in new boundaries
Rideau Lakes		We completely restrict development in the flood plains although there is no flood plain mapping yet for the Canal system We completely restrict development in PSW's and require EIS for any development within 120m. We have also chosen to protect smaller wetlands through the OP and Zoning Bylaw	
Rideau Valley CA			
Smiths Falls		Closely	
South Frontenac			
Tay Valley		Very Closely	
Westport		No development within 30 m	

Policy	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT Site plan control – (example: required for all water front development)	Catarauqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	Only for multiple residential or commercial	
	South Frontenac County	Yes any development or new lot creation	
	Kingston	Yes	Site Plan Control for specific types of development, but the SPC By-Law does not refer to waterfront properties. However, the by-law does apply to properties designated or adjacent to EPA, as well as, some lands in the Rideau Community.
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes*	* Council may pass Site Plan Control By-laws to deal with some forms of development, including waterfront development.
	Merrickville-Wolford	CA approval	
	Montague NCC	In Development	Required for all new development adjacent to a water body.
	North Grenville	Federal land Use and Design Approvals	
		Yes.	12.21 Site Plan Control: "Where a development proposal is adjacent to the Rideau River or South Branch, the Municipality shall consult with Parks Canada for their comments on proposed site plan. Where the development proposal is adjacent to a watercourse the Municipality shall consult with the appropriate Conservation Authority for their comments on the proposed site plan."
	Ottawa	Yes – can be required if City determines it to be necessary. Policies are in process.	4.2 Adjacent to Land-Use designations This section states that Site Plan Control may be required and a Cultural Heritage Impact Statement shall be required "on lots that about the Rideau Canal UNESCO World Heritage Site."
	Town of Perth	All lands within 30 m of the Tay River/Tay Canal corridor	
	Rideau Lakes	Yes	Required for all development within 100m of a waterbody over 30 sq m in size.

				Have commercial site plan guidelines and draft residential site plan guidelines
	Rideau Valley CA			
	Smiths Falls	Yes		required for all properties that abut the canal/or land owned by Parks Canada (Design guidelines outlined also) (PROPOSED OP ONLY)
	South Frontenac			
	Tay Valley		Required for devt within 100 m of water with some exceptions for accessory buildings See site plan	
	Westport		Case by case	

Policy	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT Landscaping controls - (example: required for new development only, replanting etc)	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	Yes, for new development only. Enhance ribbon of life around lakes.	
	Kingston	Depends on type of development	Development covered by Site Plan Control would have landscaping requirements, but SPC doesn't reference waterfront properties (does apply to environmental areas).
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes	- Landscaping plan may be required to permit development on lands designated "Mineral Resource, Wollastonite" - natural landscaping to be maintained in the required 30 metre setback along waterbodies and watercourses
	Merrickville-Wolford	CA approval	
	Montague	In Development	Would be part of site plan control
	NCC	Yes for federal lands	
	North Grenville	Yes.	2.7.2 Urban Design Goal 2.7.3 Hamlet Design Goal 6.2.4.2 Vegetative Cover General Policies
	Ottawa	Landscaping control when a development application is required such as Site Plan	
	Town of Perth	Only in conjunction with site plan	
	Rideau Lakes	Nothing formal in a bylaw. However it is a common	

		practice through Site Plan Control to impose landscaping conditions. Particularly to plant additional trees/shrubs or allow a certain portion of shoreline to re-vegetate	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Yes	New Development only. Naturalization encouraged
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	See Site Plan	
	Westport	New development	

Policy IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROVISIONS	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Cultural heritage impact assessment: built heritage, archaeology, cultural landscape	Catarqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	Archeology only required in plan of subdivision context, otherwise no.	
	South Frontenac County	No	
	Kingston	Yes	Heritage Impact Statements are required through Official Plan policies. Also, Archaeological Master Plan used to identify areas of archaeological potential and sensitivity.
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	No	
	Merrickville-Wolford	Yes	
	Montague	In Development	Part of New Official Plan policies
	NCC	Yes federal lands	
	North Grenville	Yes	10.2.7 Planning Impact Analysis 12.5.9 Policies for Plans of Subdivision 12.27 Development Applications 12.7 Cultural Heritage and Archaeological Resources
	Ottawa	yes	4.6 Cultural Heritage Resources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In this section a Cultural Heritage Impact Statement is required when a development has the potential to adversely affect any designated heritage resource, when it is along the Rideau Canal or Rideau River. - It must be demonstrated that a proposal is compatible with a heritage resource for all planning applications adjacent to or across the street from a heritage resource.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - An Archaeological Resource assessment is required in any area that there is Archaeological Potential (along the most if not all waterway within the City). - Along River corridors- all major rivers and streams Some land uses are restricted and we could require land dedication at waterfront. - Design Criteria is required for any developments along a Scenic Entry Routes designated on Schedules I and J of the OP. Roads that run adjacent to the canal and river are included, the most significant ones being Prince of Wales Drive and River Road. - Assessments are required for development along designated Major Recreational Pathways designated on Schedules I and J of the OP. Multi-use pathways that run adjacent to the canal and river are included, the most significant ones being along or on Prince of Wales Drive and River Road.
	Town of Perth	Not required at this time	Issue will be reviewed during Official Plan Review
	Rideau Lakes	No	This would be possible to request through a planning application but has not been a practice.
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Yes	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	Archeology only for subdivisions	
	Westport	Part of Strategic plan, central commercial areas studies	

Policy IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROVISIONS	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Visual impact assessment	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	No	
	Kingston	Yes	Staff can request such an assessment based on OP policies
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	No	
	Merrickville-Wolford	Yes --Site Plan Control	
	Montague	In development	Part of new Official Plan policies
	NCC	Yes federal lands	
	North Grenville	No	Found only in policies that pertain to development of Waste Disposal Site (4.6)
	Ottawa	Yes	
	Town of Perth	Not required-no policies	No Policies-no identified best practices-assistance would be needed for policy development
	Rideau Lakes	No	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Proposed	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	None	
	Westport	No	

Policy IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROVISIONS	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Environmental impact studies	Catarqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	Yes	
	South Frontenac County	N/A	
	Kingston	Yes	Applicant can be required to consult with the CRCA and complete studies
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	NO	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes	Applications for new development within 120 metres of a PSW and within or 50 metres adjacent to a locally significant wetland require an EIS.
	Merrickville- Wolford	Yes	Applications for development/site alteration in a fish habitat require an EIS.
	Montague	In Development	Part of new Official Plan
	NCC	If required as per federal approvals	
	North Grenville	Yes.	<p>6.0 Land Use Policies- Natural Heritage: The Natural Heritage policies establish the requirements for the refinement and protection of the Natural Heritage features through public ownership/acquisition, stewardship, management and rehabilitation, ecological buffers, and the preparation of environmental impact studies or environmental assessments</p> <p>4.7 – Environmental Protection Can require:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Integrated environmental review to assess development applications - Tree retention and planting - Demonstrate no impact on the natural features or on the ecological function for which the area is identified - Demonstrate no negative impact; If there is impact -- review by Department
	Ottawa	Yes	

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - of Fisheries and Oceans - Erosion and sediment control plan - Determine appropriate setback from rivers, lakes and streams - Hydrogeology/terrain analysis - Groundwater impact assessment - Wellhead protection study - Stormwater site management plans <p>Assessment of landscape feature</p>
	Town of Perth	Required within or abutting Environmental protection areas or near Natural Heritage features or where hazards are identified.	
	Rideau Lakes	Required for all development within 120m of a PSW, 50m of other wetlands. Also required in reducing the 30m water setback when lot is perceived to be environmentally sensitive	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Yes	
	South Frontenac	Where triggered by distance to water or wetlands	
	Tay Valley		
	Westport	No	

Policy IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROVISIONS	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
List any other impact studies / assessment that may be required.	Catarqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	N/A	
	South Frontenac County	Environmental, Archeological, slope stability study	
	Kingston	Yes	Extensive list of potential studies in Section 9.12 of the OP
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Screening and buffering plans may be required to permit development on lands designated "Mineral Resource, Wollastonite" - Proposed development within influence area of open or closed waste disposal site will require a variety of impact studies to determine suitability
	Merrickville-Wolford	Development in "Land Use Not Considered in this Plan"	
	Montague	Yes	Applicable permits from the R.V.C.A. and/or Parks Canada
	NCC		
	North Grenville	Yes.	<p>12.27 Development Applications</p> <p>a) The Municipality shall request additional information that it needs when considering development proposals or Planning Act applications. Such information may include but not limited to any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hydrogeological and terrain analysis report • Servicing capacity/feasibility/options study • Water supply assessment • Ground water impact assessment • Surface water impact assessment • Stormwater management report/drainage plan • Environmental impact study • Floodplain assessment • Slope stability study

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transportation impact assessment • Pedestrian impact assessment • Archaeological impact study • Natural heritage evaluation • Heritage impact study • Noise/dust/vibration/odour study • Agricultural soils assessment • Market study • Concept plan showing planned land use • Land use inventory • Any other study identified in the Official Plan
	Ottawa	Yes	4.8- Protection of Health and Safety Refers to Flood Plains, Unstable slopes, etc.
	Town of Perth	Town is undertaking a heritage district review and will be undertaking a review of impacts during an Environmental Assessment process for sanitary system expansion	Town could consider reviewing options for reducing or mitigating stormwater impacts but would need some financial assistance to advance it has a servicing priority.
	Rideau Lakes	Geotechnical studies required for all development within 10m of a steep slope. Require a Lake Impact Study for all development more than 3 lots on the water.	
	Rideau Valley CA Smiths Falls	Natural Heritage (ANSI, Fish Habitat, endangered species, wildlife, woodlands)	
	South Frontenac	Only for subdivisions; hydro geology, traffic, etc.	
	Tay Valley Westport		

Policy DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Subdivision minor variance severance site plan	Cataragui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No Special Policies to Canal for all	
	South Frontenac County	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Nothing unique to Rideau Nothing unique to Rideau Nothing unique to Rideau May apply unique building location and requirements
	Kingston	Yes Yes Yes Yes	OP discourages new Estate Residential subdivisions No special policies Re. Rideau Canal OP limits number of severances permitted For specific types of development
	Lanark County	No specific requirements N/A Use lower tier guidelines N/A	
	Leeds & Grenville County	Yes N/A Yes N/A	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	No No No No	
	Merrickville-Wolford	See Note	In the Village of Merrickville property along the canal is zoned 'O' – Open Space. There is no residential development allowed and limited non-residential development. In the Wolford Ward there are three zones – 'RU' – Rural – which provides for single detached dwelling and accessory dwelling to agriculture, 'LSR' – Limited Service Residential – which provides for seasonal dwelling and semi detached dwelling and 'A' – Agriculture which allows accessory to agricultural uses – single detached on an existing lot of record or on a lot created by Land Division Committee.

	Montague	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>No special policies related to the Rideau Canal</p> <p>No special policies related to the Rideau Canal</p> <p>Must comply with Provincial Policy Statement. Lot creation will be discouraged where channel is less than 100 metres wide</p> <p>May also include architectural control</p>
	NCC	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>On federal lands</p>	<p>NCC provides comments on municipal Planning Act circulations affecting federal interests and lands</p>
	North Grenville	<p>Yes</p> <p>.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>12.5.9 Policies for Plans of Subdivision</p> <p>o) A heritage impact assessment may be required for any lands to be subdivided. The assessment and any recommendations for the conservation of significant cultural heritage resources identified through the assessment may be a condition of subdivision approval and may be included in the subdivision agreement.</p> <p>12.8.2 Policies</p> <p>f) Applications for Official Plan amendments, re-zoning, minor variance, severance, or subdivision of land (including plans of condominium) will be circulated to Parks Canada for comment, and will be reviewed in the context of the protection of the natural, cultural and scenic values of the Rideau Canal</p> <p>12.5.1 General Consent Policies Applicable to All Land Use Designations</p> <p>m) Parks Canada Rideau Canal Office shall be consulted as a review agency for all severances and subdivisions adjacent to the Rideau Canal.</p>

		<p>Yes.</p>	<p>12.5.4 Consent Policies for Land within the Hamlet Designations</p> <p>b) Severances for the creation of new lots for the development of industrial and commercial uses in the Hamlet designation shall only be permitted in accordance with the policies of Section 12.5.1 and the relevant policies of the Hamlet designation.</p> <p>12.21 Site Plan Control</p> <p>Where a development proposal is adjacent to the Rideau River or South Branch, the Municipality shall consult with Parks Canada for their comments on proposed site plan. Where the development proposal is adjacent to a watercourse the Municipality shall consult with the appropriate Conservation Authority for their comments on the proposed site plan.</p>
	<p>Ottawa</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Minor variances, severances, site plans and subdivisions are circulated to the Heritage Section of the City of Ottawa as well as the Ottawa Built heritage Advisory Committee (OBHAC) as part of the normal circulation of planning applications where heritage resources are involved.</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p>
	<p>Town of Perth</p>	<p>Required for new lots on new roads</p> <p>No specific policies in place re Tay River or Tay Canal</p> <p>May be used and has been applied for accessory structure relief.</p> <p>Not likely but there are no specific policies to limit or apply special conditions</p>	

		As noted above it is required for all development along the Tay River or the Tay Canal.	
Rideau Lakes	<p>Possible but have never had an application</p> <p>Approximately 40 variances are given each year to reduce the water setback on the Rideau Canal</p> <p>Severances are permitted on the water</p>	<p>All variances are circulated to Parks Canada.</p> <p>New private roads are restricted. Infill lots on private roads permitted. Island lots are permitted with deeded mainland parking. Narrow channel policies along Canal system.</p> <p>Site Plan applications deemed to have a particular impact to the Canal are circulated to Parks Canada. Have imposed conditions to site plans for building colour, height, shoreline restrictions and landscaping at request of Parks Canada.</p>	
Rideau Valley CA			
Smiths Falls	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Proposed for all</p>		
South Frontenac			
Tay Valley	They are all used but nothing special for the canal except setbacks increased lot by lot on a case by case basis		
Westport	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	Very limited undeveloped lakeshore property	

Policy DESIGN/SCENIC AREAS POLICY	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Design guidelines, controls, committees - urban / rural <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policies in OP to allow them? • Are guidelines in place? 	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	Yes	Preserve vistas and panoramas
	Kingston	No	Do not currently have guidelines with respect to the Canal, but OP policies allow them.
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	No	
	Merrickville-Wolford	No	
	Montague	In development	Policies in the new Official Plan to allow them
	NCC	No	
	North Grenville	Yes	See Attachment for Design Guidelines and control measures in place.
	Ottawa	Yes.	-Heritage Advisory Committee.
		yes	Section 2.5.1 of the Official Plan refers to Urban Design and Compatibility with specific mention to the Rideau River and the Rideau Canal. The following design guidelines have been approved by City Council and would apply to development within the Rideau canal corridor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environmental Noise Control Guideline • Outdoor Patio Design Guideline • Regional Road Corridor Design Guidelines

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Right-of-Way Lighting Policy • Transit-Oriented Development Guidelines • Urban Design Guidelines for Development along Arterial Mainstreets • Road Corridor Planning & Design Guidelines • Urban Design Guidelines for Development along Traditional Mainstreets • Urban Design Guidelines for Drive-Through Facilities • Urban Design Guidelines for Gas Stations • Urban Design Guidelines for Greenfield Neighbourhoods • Urban Design Guidelines for Large-Format Retail • Urban Design Guideline for Low-Medium Density Infill Housing • Rural Village Design Guidelines • Design Guidelines for Development Application Gateway Features
	Town of Perth	None	Policies to permit will be considered during the Official Plan Review
	Rideau Lakes	OP allows for them. No Committee	Adopted Commercial Site Plan guidelines. Building design is considered through commercial site plan review. Rarely used for residential applications.
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Form part of the proposed OP	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	No Chees No Guidelines	
	Westport	Some limited mention	

Policy DESIGN/SCENIC AREAS POLICY	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Secondary plans, community design plan	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	No	
	Kingston	Yes	One secondary plan for the Rideau Community (southern portion of Highway 15).
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	No	
	Merrickville-Wolford	No	
	Montague	No	
	NCC	Yes -high level Sector plans	
	North Grenville	Yes (SP) No. (CDP)	Hamlets located along Rideau Canal. (2.7.3 Hamlet Design Goal) Allows for a Secondary plan. Do no do Community Design Plans.
	Ottawa	Yes	A Downtown Design Strategy that includes a small portion of the Rideau Canal in the Central Area where a Design Review Panel would review development. The "Lower Rideau Watershed Plan" Local plans including Community Design Plans, secondary Plans and Site-specific policies that impact communities adjacent to the Rideau Canal / River <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beechwood Community Design Plan (CDP) • Uptown Rideau CDP • Sandy Hill Secondary Plan (SP) • Vanier Site-Specific Policies (SSP) • Central Area SP • Centretown SP

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • South Nepean SP Areas 1 to 6 • Riverside South CDP • Manotick Village Plan (VP) • Kars VP • Burritt's Rapids VP
	Town of Perth	No policies requiring them. Have undertaken them in areas of mixed use development	
	Rideau Lakes	None	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	No	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	No	
	Westport	No	

Policy DESIGN/SCENIC AREAS POLICY	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Protection for scenic routes, heritage areas, designation, registers of cultural heritage properties	Catarqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	Yes	General motherhood statements
	Kingston	Yes	Register of heritage properties; Barriefield Village Heritage Conservation District; OP policies regarding view corridors and a single-loaded road in a portion of the Rideau Community.
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes	Provision for Heritage Conservation Districts and designation of heritage buildings, structures, sites and landscapes. - Municipal Heritage Committees compile and maintain inventory of cultural, historical, architectural, or archaeologically significant sites
	Merrickville-Wolford	Yes	
	Montague	In development	Part of the new Official Plan
	NCC	Yes	
	North Grenville	Yes.	13.2.4 Scenic or Heritage Roads 2.7 Heritage, Urban, Hamlet and Rural Design 12.7 Cultural Heritage and Archaeological Resources
	Ottawa	Yes	Section 2.5.5 of the OP refers to Cultural Heritage Resources. OPA 76 introduced the following policy addition, changing reference of the Rideau Canal to a "UNESCO World Heritage Site" with specific mention to the Rideau River and the Rideau Canal. Rideau Canal UNESCO World Heritage Site 25. Parks Canada has jurisdiction for the Rideau Canal including both the bed of the Canal and shore areas under its control. The Canal is a World Heritage Site, a National Historic Site and a Canadian Heritage River that

		<p>is comprised of diverse landscapes rich in history, natural character and scenic beauty. The City will continue to partner with Parks Canada to promote the Rideau Canal UNESCO World Heritage Site.</p> <p>26. In conjunction with Parks Canada, and in order to recognize and protect the cultural heritage resource significance of the Rideau River and Canal, the City will undertake a study that is consistent with the World Heritage Site and National Historic Sites Management Plans for the Rideau Canal and Rideau River to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • identify and protect the cultural heritage landscapes, Algonquin history, and built heritage resources of the waterway; • explore the introduction of design guidelines that can be implemented through the site plan control process, for new development along the waterway; • identify measures to conserve the terrestrial and marine archaeological resources of the Rideau Canal. <p>27. Reference should also be made to Section 4.6.3 of this Plan with respect to development abutting the Rideau Canal.</p>	<p>26. In conjunction with Parks Canada, and in order to recognize and protect the cultural heritage resource significance of the Rideau River and Canal, the City will undertake a study that is consistent with the World Heritage Site and National Historic Sites Management Plans for the Rideau Canal and Rideau River to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • identify and protect the cultural heritage landscapes, Algonquin history, and built heritage resources of the waterway; • explore the introduction of design guidelines that can be implemented through the site plan control process, for new development along the waterway; • identify measures to conserve the terrestrial and marine archaeological resources of the Rideau Canal. <p>27. Reference should also be made to Section 4.6.3 of this Plan with respect to development abutting the Rideau Canal.</p>
Town of Perth		<p>The Official Plan has heritage policies and the Town is considering a heritage district the Town has a register of designated properties.</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Policies will be reviewed relative to recent Heritage Act changes. Register of heritage properties could be improved through a new inventory of resources</p>
Rideau Lakes			<p>We have maintained a registry of historic properties which is considered during planning and building permit reviews.</p>
Rideau Valley CA			
Smiths Falls		<p>YES (except scenic routes, and no heritage areas ID)</p>	<p>Designated properties & properties of interest.</p>
South Frontenac			
Tay Valley		<p>1 heritage building not on Rideau</p>	
Westport		<p>Just getting underway</p>	

Policy DESIGN/SCENIC AREAS POLICY	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Public access / ownership / acquisition / parkland	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	Yes	
	Kingston	Yes	OP policies regarding public access to the waterfront and the acquisition of waterfront properties for public ownership.
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes	- Donation of lands designated as Provincially Significant Wetlands and ANSIs to appropriate agencies is encouraged
	Merrickville- Wolford	Yes	
	Montague	In development	Part of the new Official Plan
	NCC	Yes	
	North Grenville	Yes.	
	Ottawa	Yes	<p>6.6 Ecological Buffers (access) 6.4 Public Ownership / Acquisition One of three supporting Plans for the OP is the "Greenspace Master Plan" which provides a greater level of detail on important issues than is practical in the Official Plan itself.</p> <p>The Greenspace Master Plan speaks directly to "Securing public access to the shorelines of the Ottawa River, Rideau River, Rideau Canal, and other watercourses that contribute to environmental health, cultural heritage, and scenic and recreational opportunities"</p> <p>In the OP Greenspace Policies are located in section 2.4.5 (Strategic Directions for Maintaining Environmental Integrity for Greenspaces) which speaks also to Archaeological Potential (an addition through OPA 76</p> <p>OP policies for Open Spaces is located under section 3.3.1 for Major Open Spaces and are meant to protect the larger open spaces in Ottawa that are to be generally available for public use and enjoyment.</p>

	Town of Perth	<p>The Town owns a substantive extent of Tay River Shoreline in Stewart Park and Last Duel Park, Basin Park and Code/Hagard Park portions of the shoreline are in control of Parks Canada and the Upper Canada School Board. Substantive portions of the shoreline are in the flood plain and are designated and zoned to prevent development.</p>	
	Rideau Lakes	<p>Policy to not close road allowances which lead to water. Have a Portland Harbour and Village Plan which plans for waterfront acquisition along Big Rideau Lake in Portland. Also have a Newboro Harbour Plan which plans for improved public access to Newboro lake and its harbour.</p>	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Yes	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	Public Access	
	Westport	Yes existing harbor spring area	

Policy	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
DESIGN/SCENIC AREAS POLICY			
Trails policies / open space / recreation	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	No	
	Kingston	Yes	OP policies regarding parks, recreation, open space systems, etc.
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	No	
	Merrickville-Wolford	Yes	
	Montague	In development	Part of the new Official Plan
	NCC	Yes	
	North Grenville	Yes.	10.7 Parks / Open Space 12.16 Parks and Recreation
	Ottawa	Yes	
	Town of Perth	The Town has trails along the shoreline and policies that support their maintenance, and extension	These policies will be examined during the OP review to potentially enhance support for trail creation.
	Rideau Lakes	No	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Yes	Encourage trails, recreation, public access along canal.
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	Yes but not specific to Rideau	
	Westport	No	

Policy DESIGN/SCENIC AREAS POLICY	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Property standards bylaw	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	Yes	Separate By-law
	Kingston	Yes	
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes	
	Merrickville-Wolford	Yes	
	Montague	Yes	New Official Plan also includes policies re: property standards
	NCC	No	
	North Grenville	Yes	14.5 Maintenance and Occupancy
	Ottawa	Yes	
	Town of Perth	The Town has a property standards By-law and enforcement staff	
	Rideau Lakes	Yes	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Yes	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	No	
	Westport	Yes	

Policy DESIGN/SCENIC AREAS POLICY	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Tree cutting bylaw	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	No	
	Kingston	Yes	Also a Site Alteration By-Law
	Lanark County	Yes	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	No	
	Merrickville-Wolford	No	
	Montague	Yes	New Official Plan enables adoption of Tree Cutting By-law County of Lanark has a tree cutting by-law in place
	NCC	No	
	North Grenville	No.	(12.7 Cultural Heritage and Archaeological Resources-) highlights that they only remove tree if they pose a health and safety hazard.
	Ottawa	Yes - in the urban area for public and private. In the rural area for publicly owned lands	
	Town of Perth	Only to control tree cutting on Town land	
	Rideau Lakes	No	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	No	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	No at County	
	Westport	No	

Policy	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
RESEARCH Do you have any analysis of land use along Rideau Corridor?	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	No	
	Kingston	Yes	Land use survey; somewhat general as it covered the whole municipality.
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	No	
	Merrickville-Wolford	No	
	Montague	No	
	NCC	Limited-in progress via Capital Urban Land Master Plan	
	North Grenville	No	
	Ottawa	No	
	Town of Perth	No current evaluation, Simple analysis with GIS could be done fairly quickly	
	Rideau Lakes	No	We have analyzed vacant lots along the Canal
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Not outside of planning designations	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	Vacant land	
	Westport	No	

Policy RESEARCH	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
Visual character assessment undertaken (examples: Eastern Ontario Visual Heritage Project, NCC studies)	Catawaqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	No	
	South Frontenac County	No	
	Kingston	Yes	Participated in the Eastern Ontario Visual Heritage Project, and intend to undertake a cultural heritage landscape assessment for the whole City.
	Lanark County	No	
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	No	
	Merrickville-Wolford	No	
	Montague	No	
	NCC	Yes	
	North Grenville	No	
	Ottawa	The Eastern Ontario Visual Character Project, prepared by the Ontario Professional Planners Institute (OPPI) in cooperation with the City of Ottawa and the Frontenac Arch Biosphere Reserve and various other municipalities and organizations assessed all lands and waters in Eastern Ontario and organized the visual characteristics into themes as shown on the website 'eovc.ca'	
	Town of Perth	None	
	Rideau Lakes	No	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	No	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	No	
	Westport	No	

Policy	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
RESEARCH			
GIS capabilities and ability to share GIS resources?	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley	Yes	
	South Frontenac County	Yes	ArcMap, DRAPE
	Kingston	Yes	Third party user agreement would be required with our GIS department.
	Lanark County	Yes	
	Leeds & Grenville County	Yes	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Yes *	*Can share GIS resources with permission
	Merrickville-Wolford	Yes	County GIS (L&G)
	Montague	Yes	
	NCC	Yes	
	North Grenville	Yes and Yes	
	Ottawa	Ottawa has full GIS capability for the northern half of the canal corridor and has less than full capability for the southern half. The City is working towards having full capacity for all of Eastern Ontario. Kevin Cover is the contact person.	
		The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (Smiths Falls) has full capability for the entire canal system.	
	Town of Perth	Yes	I anticipate a Data sharing agreement with the Town or with Lanark County would be needed
	Rideau Lakes	Held at County. Would make every	

		attempt to make it available to the group	
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls	Yes (CGIS, Autocad-Engineering Dept)	
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	CGIS own data	
	Westport	Under A.C. of Leeds and Grenville	

Policy RESEARCH	Municipality	Status	Comments/Clarification
What information does the municipality need, but doesn't have? Information gaps, support – wish list?	Cataraqui CA		
	Drummond/North Elmsley		
	South Frontenac County	Location of heritage buildings, map of vistas worth preserving	
	Kingston	N/A	Design guidelines for the Canal; criteria for visual impact assessments.
	Lanark County		
	Leeds & Grenville County	No	
	Leeds & Thousand Islands	Solar and other alternative energy generation guidelines	
	Merrickville-Wolford		
	Montague		
	NCC	Undetermined	
	North Grenville	No	None at this time
	Ottawa	Full GIS capability for all of Eastern Ontario	
	Town of Perth	Perhaps improved information on heritage elements of Canal features in town. Definitely design guidelines for development on lands adjacent the Canal. A complimentary to guidelines would be policies and minimum standards for visual impact assessment and visual landscape protection.	In put from Parks Canada or an oversight group interested in preserving the character of the Canal corridor would be good. Minimum standards /protocols for visual impact assessment and general guidelines or limits on visual impact change would be helpful.
	Rideau Lakes		
	Rideau Valley CA		
	Smiths Falls		
	South Frontenac		
	Tay Valley	More science on water quality impacts	
	Westport		

