

# Report on the Plains Bison Reintroduction Pilot 2017-2022

November 2022









# Contents

1.0 Context
2.0 The Plains Bison Reintroduction Pilot
2.1 Goals and Objectives of the Pilot
2.2 Evaluation of the Pilot5
2.2.1 Visitor Safety & Experience Impacts
2.2.2 Indigenous Groups and Stakeholders7
2.2.3 Response to Citizen Engagement & Stewardship Programs11
2.2.4 Bison Home Ranges, Monitoring & Responses11
2.2.5 Mitigating Effects of the Bison Project on Other Species
2.2.6 Bison Population Growth & Population Targets
2.2.7 Public Awareness, Education & Appreciation19
2.2.8 Factors Influencing Bison Movement & Habitat Selection20
3.0 Summary and Next Steps
4.0 References



### 1.0 Context

The 2010 Banff National Park of Canada Management Plan committed Parks Canada to reintroducing a breeding population of plains bison to the park in support of the overall capacity of the park's ecosystems to adapt to climate change, while enabling Canadians to continue to learn about healthy, intact ecosystems and wild places and protect the natural heritage of Banff National Park. As part of this process, Parks Canada initiated public discussion to build understanding and support for the concept and to ensure public interests and concerns were identified and addressed to the degree possible.

The process garnered high levels of interest from a broad range of stakeholders, provincial and municipal governments, neighbouring land owners, and various user groups. Based on the results, Parks Canada developed a reintroduction plan, as well as a number of plans and assessments to guide and strengthen the reintroduction plan:

- Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment;
- Bison Excursion Prevention and Response Plan;
- Disease Risk Assessment; and
- Bison Reintroduction Health Monitoring Strategy.

The Reintroduction Plan for Plains Bison included an adaptive, five-year phased pilot that began with a small herd of bison released on the eastern slopes of Banff National Park. The second phase occurred 18 months later, when that same herd and its newly born calves were released into a remote 1200km<sup>2</sup> reintroduction zone in the backcountry of the park (Figure 2).



Following the commitments made in the reintroduction plan, with the five-year pilot set to conclude, Parks Canada has prepared this report which will be shared for public, stakeholder, and Indigenous review and comment.

*Figure 1*: Reintroduced bison and their calves in the backcountry soft-release pasture where they were held for the first 18 months of the pilot.



### 2.0 The Plains Bison Reintroduction Pilot

The five-year phased pilot, commenced in 2017 with blessing ceremonies in Banff National Park, facilitated by Indigenous nations. The pilot included two main phases:

- 1) **Soft-Release (2017-2018):** In early 2017, 16 healthy bison from Elk Island National Park were translocated to an enclosed pasture within the reintroduction zone located in Banff National Park's eastern slopes. The bison spent two calving seasons in the soft-release pasture allowing them to bond to their new home. The bison were closely monitored by Parks Canada team members.
- 2) **Free-Roaming (2018-2022):** In the summer of 2018, the bison were released to explore the full reintroduction zone. Mountain ridges and short stretches of wildlife-friendly fencing discouraged bison from leaving the 1,200 km<sup>2</sup> reintroduction zone while allowing other wildlife to pass freely. Parks Canada team members monitored the herd and its relationship with the landscape.



Figure 2 Banff National Park Bison Reintroduction Zone and Upper Red Deer Special Bison Area.



# 2.1 Goals and Objectives of the Pilot

The plains bison reintroduction pilot was built upon public, stakeholder, and Indigenous feedback which resulted in phasing the reintroduction as a five-year pilot, so that bison health, use of habitat, and movements were closely monitored and contained within the park.

The goals and objectives of the reintroduction pilot included supporting ecosystem integrity, enriching visitor experience, facilitating cultural connections, and providing learning and stewardship opportunities, both in the park and from afar.

### 2.2 Evaluation of the Pilot

Overall, the goals and objectives of the pilot are considered to be achieved:

- The bison remained healthy and demonstrated a healthy growth rate with minimal mortalities (Table 1), they appear to have adapted quickly to the mountain habitat, and they remained, for the most part, within the Park.
- Negative impacts to other species were not observed, and there were no threats to human safety or property damage reported.
- Establishing and strengthening relationships occurred through the involvement of a number of Indigenous nations and stakeholders throughout the pilot.
- A variety of in-park and outreach and educational programs provided the opportunity to enrich visitor experience and understanding.

Year	Animals	Growth	Mortality	Excursions
		Rate		
Start (Feb 2017)	16			
2017	26	62.5%		
2018	36	38.5%		2 males
2019	39	8.3%	1 calf	1 male
2020	56	43.5%	1 calf	
2021	65	21.4%		1 male

**Table 1:** Plains Bison Reintroduction Pilot - statistical summary

Following the commitments made in the reintroduction plan, with the five-year pilot set to conclude this year in 2022, Parks Canada has prepared its evaluation based on the eight evaluation factors outlined in the Reintroduction Plan:

- Visitor safety & visitor experience impacts;
- Indigenous groups & stakeholders impacts & perspectives; (formerly neighbours & partners)
- Response to citizen engagement & stewardship programs;
- Bison home ranges, monitoring & responses;
- Mitigating effects of the bison project on other species;
- Bison population growth & population targets;
- Public awareness, education & appreciation; and
- Factors influencing bison movement & habitat selection.



These factors were developed based on input from and concerns raised by stakeholders, Indigenous nations, and the public as well as park objectives and priorities. The following is an in-depth assessment and evaluation of the five-year Plains Bison Reintroduction Pilot against each of those factors.

#### 2.2.1 Visitor Safety & Experience Impacts

The Banff bison were reintroduced in a remote backcountry area of the park that sees little human use. The reintroduction story was therefore communicated through several interpretive programs (Table 2), reaching nearly 14,000 people over the span of the five-year pilot.

Table 2: Interpretive Initiatives – Plains Bison Reintroduction Pilot, 2017-2021

*Reach. The number	of people who	saw or engaged wit	h the content	/products
<i>Neuch</i> . The number	of people who	saw of engaged wit	In the content,	products

Interpretive Programs (In-park)	# of Programs	Reach
2017 Bison Winter Program (Roving))	3	
2017 Bison on the Horizon (Theatre)	48	6,854
2017 Bison Guardians (Point Talk)	29	
2018 Bison Winter Program (Roving)	11	
2018 Bison – Home on the Range (Theatre)	22	4,662
2018 Bison's New Horizons (Program)	34	
2019 Deep in the Backcountry: Bison Stewards (Theatre)	29	0.004
2019 Banff Bison: Behind the Scenes (Program)	10	2,224
2021 Speaker Series: Bison Project Staff (Theatre)	1	55
TOTAL	187	13,981

While there were a few backcountry visitors who did see the bison during their wilderness experience, and those were mostly guides with clients, the existence of bison in the backcountry, did not appear to increase visitation to this part of the backcountry. Remote trail cameras (N=6) indicate annual human use (including Parks Canada staff) remained low, with fewer than 60 human events *per year* recorded in the heart of the reintroduction zone during the pilot period.<sup>1</sup> This is well (~95%) below the target threshold of 100 events *per month* to maintain grizzly bear habitat security, as prescribed in BNP's Management Plan.<sup>2</sup>



Parks Canada did not receive any visitoror stakeholder complaints about bison inside the Park. Bison generally fled (up to 5km) from occasional hiking groups and neither fled nor approached groups on horseback (Figure 3). Outside the park. a lone bull did venture into horse camps, which caused concerns among campers. It was euthanized as it continued to wander



was euthanized as it continued to wander east, as per the Bison Excursion Response Plan.

#### 2.2.2 Indigenous Groups and Stakeholders

Indigenous Groups and stakeholders were engaged prior to and during the pilot and their input was instrumental in shaping the plan. The engagement continued throughout the five-year pilot period via biannual project updates, annual progress reports, and formal and informal meetings and phone calls. Following the 2015 Reintroduction Plan for Plains Bison, this five-year report will also undergo public, stakeholder, and Indigenous engagement.

### **Indigenous Groups**

All Treaty 7 Nations were engaged prior to bison reintroduction in BNP and their feedback was considered by the planning team in revising the project plan. Many First Nations and Métis iterated the need for ceremonies to bless and prepare the animals prior to and during reintroduction. Such ceremonies, designed by Indigenous groups and facilitated with assistance from Parks Canada, occurred before the reintroduction in BNP, during the translocation at Elk Island National Park, and prior to releasing the animals into the backcountry of BNP.

The pilot project has also provided an opportunity to continue to strengthen relationships with several Treaty 7 Indigenous peoples, resulting in a traditional knowledge study<sup>3</sup>, an Indigenous youth filmmaking workshop, and annual Indigenous women's and youth hikes into the reintroduction zone.

All Treaty 7 Nations will continue to be engaged as we proceed including as a first step, sharing this report for their early review and input.



### Other Government Organizations and Adjacent Land Managers

The pilot provided opportunities to continue to strengthen relationships with other government organizations and adjacent land managers. For example, the Government of Alberta Ministries of Alberta Environment and Parks and Alberta Agriculture and Forestry engaged on the pilot project by reviewing draft plans and providing permits to recapture bison that left the Park.

Further, through ongoing engagement and collaboration, the Government of Alberta established the 240 km<sup>2</sup> Upper Red Deer Special Bison Zone in 2018, to protect wayward bison until Parks Canada team members could respond and recapture or haze them back into the Park (Figure 2)<sup>4</sup>. The government of Alberta will continue to be engaged including, as a first step, sharing this report for their early review and input.

In 2022, the government of Alberta, Ministry of Alberta Environment and Parks permitted the construction of four secondary bison containment fences in key pinch points to the east of the Upper Red Deer Special Bison Zone, where a large mountain ridge already acts as an extensive topographic barrier. These fences will deflect bison from travelling through four gaps in this ridge system and onto adjacent grazing allotments thus providing a secondary line of containment.

#### **Cattle Ranchers**

Livestock grazing allotments begin ~20km beyond the current bison reintroduction zone, and extend to the public/private land boundary 50km east of the park (Figure 2). The livestock industry was concerned at the outset of the project that bison could carry and transmit diseases of concern (e.g., anthrax, brucellosis and bovine tuberculosis) to cattle if they frequented this area.

An extensive disease risk assessment conducted prior to the reintroduction concluded there was a low probability of this occurring.<sup>5</sup> Nonetheless, in recognition that the economic consequences to the livestock industry would be significant if it did occur, an in-depth Bison Health Monitoring and Disease Response Plan<sup>6</sup> was subsequently developed and all preventative measures were followed over the last 5 years, including:

- Sourcing animals from a certified disease-free herd (Elk Island National Park, as per Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) testing since the 1970s);
- Testing and quarantining the animals prior to translocation, and again prior to releasing them into the wild<sup>7</sup>;
- Testing 8 animals captured for radio collaring for brucellosis and other diseases after the 2018 release (all were negative)<sup>8</sup>;
- Evaluating any dead bison for signs of tuberculosis and other diseases (2 that had to be destroyed when they dispersed well beyond the reintroduction zone were necropsied by a licensed veterinarian and found to be in excellent health with no signs of tuberculosis or other diseases).



Other indicators of bison health were closely monitored with reassuring results: body condition for all founder animals averaged in the "good" to "very good" range through the pilot project (Figure 4)<sup>9</sup>, fecal parasite counts were low<sup>10</sup>, calving rates were very high (average 33% herd growth rate per year), and, despite intensive monitoring and frequent field and remote camera observations (radio collars maintained on at least 10% of the herd), no sick animals were detected and only two natural bison mortalities were recorded in the 5-year pilot (due to wolf predation of newborns in spring 2020 and 2021).



*Figure 4:* Body Condition for Banff bison 2017-2021, with line showing average scores and 95% confidence intervals.

Although bison excursions (i.e. instances where bison venture beyond the reintroduction zone or the park boundary) were rare during the 5-year pilot project, lone bulls did disperse east of the reintroduction zone and the Park on four occasions (see Section 4). Three of these ventured onto livestock grazing allotments in the summers of 2018 and 2019 but did not mingle with cattle or damage any fences. Allotment holders were contacted immediately and PCA successfully recaptured or removed the bison within days, as per the BNP Bison Excursion Response Plan<sup>11</sup>.

### **Guides and Outfitters**

Two trail riding outfitters have permits to commercially offer horseback trips into the bison reintroduction zone. Both had early concerns about how their horses would react to bison encounters. The outfitter operating in the Red Deer Valley encountered bison several times during the five-year pilot project and reported positive experiences for clients. The outfitter operating in the Cascade Valley has not yet encountered bison because the animals have not yet explored that valley.

Hunting outfitters also operate just outside the park and reintroduction zone, and rely, in part, on other wildlife freely moving across the park boundary in the fall. They were concerned



whether reintroduced bison, and associated drift fencing, would negatively impact such movements, particularly for elk and bighorn sheep. Despite investigating these concerns thoroughly (see Section 2.2.5) there was no evidence found for these concerns at low bison densities.

#### Recreationists

Dozens of backcountry groups (e.g. backpackers or horse-mounted trail riders) ventured into the bison reintroduction zone during the five-year project<sup>1</sup>. No reports of aggressive or other negative interactions with the animals were received from these groups during the five-year pilot project.

Most recreationists immediately outside the reintroduction zone and park are also horse riders staging out of the Ya Ha Tinda area (Figure 3). Similar to the trail riding outfitters, their concerns were for prospective aggressive bison-horse encounters. The low number of bison excursions from the reintroduction zone resulted in very few bison-horse encounters, and all but one of the four that did occur were docile and gave people the ability to see wild bison.

The exception was one juvenile bison bull that dispersed east of the reintroduction zone in 2021 and curiously approached several horses in camps, making the owners nervous. He subsequently continued on his way without causing harm but was later destroyed by Parks Canada staff in accordance with the Bison Excursion Plan<sup>11</sup>, due to his continued eastward movements outside of the Park.



#### 2.2.3 Response to Citizen Engagement & Stewardship Programs

Parks Canada exceeded its goal of increasing volunteer opportunities by 1,000 hours through seven backcountry volunteer programs that resulted in over 2,000 volunteer hours during fence construction of the soft release pasture (Figure 5), consulting on the overall project (2016), fortifying drift fencing on the periphery of the reintroduction zone (2018), helping to feed and care for the bison while they were held in the soft release pasture (2017 and 2018), assisting with public outreach (2018-2020), and analyzing data and writing reports (2021).



Figure 5: One of many volunteers who assisted with construction of the backcountry bison soft-release

#### 2.2.4 Bison Home Ranges, Monitoring & Responses

The support of some stakeholders for the five-year pilot reintroduction project was contingent on a commitment from Parks Canada to contain bison within the reintroduction zone and BNP as bison are not considered to be wildlife outside of the Park (Figure 2).

Strategies to encourage bison to anchor to this target area included starting with a small number of young (2-3-year-old) animals, holding them in a backcountry pasture for 18 months, radio collaring and monitoring at least 10% of the population, hazing them back into the reintroduction zone when required, and complementing the cliffs and steep ridges that surround much of the reintroduction zone with short drift fences constructed in key valleys (Figure 6).

By almost all measures, these strategies worked: the majority of bison (which, as of Fall 2022 is  $\sim$  80 animals) have remained in the reintroduction zone and within 30 km of where they were released (Figure 6). Only four males dispersed outside of the zone and had to either be recaptured and relocated elsewhere (N=2) or were destroyed (N=2), as per the BNP Bison Excursion Response Plan.<sup>11</sup>





Figure 6: GPS collar locations of the main bison population since release (in red) and 4 dispersing males (in blue).

#### Home Range and Movements

The rate of bison exploration diminished with time since release. The main bison population explored  $257 \text{ km}^2$  of the 1200 km<sup>2</sup> reintroduction zone during the pilot period, the majority of which occurred over the first year (81.7%)<sup>12</sup>. Explorations occurred more in summer (45.5%) and fall (32.3%) than in winter (7.3%) or spring (14.8%)<sup>13</sup>.

The decline in exploration after the first year did not translate to less movement: daily movement remained steady between years (median of 1.9 km/day)<sup>12</sup> but large and rapid movements (e.g.,  $\geq 4.0 \text{ km}$  in 2 hours) dropped by 51% after the first year, suggesting the animals did settle over time.<sup>13</sup> This was further supported by a contraction in the size of their annual home range between the first and second years (133.2 km<sup>2</sup> to 92.9 km<sup>2</sup>).<sup>13</sup>

The movements of the reintroduced bison have been highly asymmetrical (Figure 6): 97% of GPS collar positions occurred to the northwest, north, and northeast of the release site<sup>13</sup>, and all explorations of the edge of the reintroduction zone occurred in these directions. Movements in all remaining directions account for only 3% of locations.



A number of hypotheses could explain these movements: many river valleys drain north or east in the study area, the more open and grassy (Southwest-facing slopes) of the reintroduction zone are always in a northeast direction, and the national park from which the animals were sourced (Elk Island) is in a northeast direction. Notwithstanding their asymmetrical pattern of exploration, the reintroduced Banff bison have developed a home range with predictable travel paths between preferred areas in the reintroduction zone, suggesting a learned fidelity for the area.

#### **Responses to Management Interventions**

It is important to note, however, that this fidelity and bison movements have been heavily influenced by management interventions, most notably two drift fences in the Panther and Red Deer valleys (Figure 7). These two drift fences have prevented north easterly bison movements outside of the reintroduction zone on 50 occasions since they were released, and the frequency of these visits has not diminished with time (Figure 7). Hazing the animals back into the reintroduction zone using low-stress herding techniques has also been necessary, albeit on fewer occasions (N=7)<sup>14</sup>. These forays have also been in a northward direction (towards the Clearwater Valley from Divide Creek), and, because of the remoteness of the region, have required helicopters to get people on the ground to herd the animals in a timely manner.



#### 2.2.5 Mitigating Effects of the Bison Project on Other Species

*Figure 7*: Timing, group size and hours spent at the Red Deer and Panther drift fences for 50 bison-fence interactions since release.

Competition between bison and species that are hunted outside the Park (e.g., bighorn sheep and elk), and the potential impacts of bison drift fences on broader wildlife movement was a concern of Indigenous Groups and stakeholders. Mitigations were put in place to address these concerns in two ways: 1) by testing for and adopting a proven wildlife-friendly design before deploying drift fences to help contain bison; and 2) by searching for early evidence of bison directly impacting other species.



### Wildlife-Friendly Drift Fences

In an effort to encourage bison to anchor to the reintroduction zone, Parks Canada built 13 adjustable drift fences designed to encourage bison from leaving the reintroduction zone. Collectively they spanned 7.8 km across 13 pinch points on the periphery of the reintroduction zone in 2017 and 2018. Of these, two (Panther and Red Deer) have proven to be crucial to encouraging bison to stay in the reintroduction zone. Some of the others were ineffective or unnecessary and nine were removed over the last several years. Only six kilometers of drift fencing remains across four sites (Figure 6).

In order to arrive at the optimal design of these fences, Parks Canada team members rigorously tested several configurations and analyzed 6,104 wildlife-fence interactions with 34 remote cameras. The resulting design was a 150cm-high, five-wire fence that is permeable to wildlife but deflects bison (Figure 8)<sup>15</sup>. There were no significant changes in wildlife activity, speed or through movements before versus after fence construction.

Monitoring and maintenance of these fences is ongoing. Located in remote, rugged terrain, they are prone to damage from fallen trees and seasonal flooding. This requires frequent maintenance checks by staff (every 2-3 weeks) who undertake multiday backcountry trips by foot, horseback and ski to access the fences.





**Figure 8**: Remote camera images of wildlife crossing study fences in Banff National Park. Female elk over (a), male antlered white-tailed deer under (b), male bighorn sheep under (c) and cougar through (d).

### Impacts of Bison on other Species

Parks Canada searched for evidence of bison directly impacting other wildlife. Long-term elk GPS radio collar data from the Ya Ha Tinda elk project<sup>16</sup> was analyzed along with bison GPS radio collar data to assess whether there might be competitive (negative) or facultative (beneficial) interactions between the two species.

Elk and bison overlapped minimally in spring and summer (Figure 9), and neither the distribution of elk, nor how elk used and selected habitat changed after bison reintroduction.<sup>17</sup> Furthermore, fewer than 50 elk currently use the bison reintroduction zone, making competition (which requires a resource to be limited), unlikely, especially at low bison densities.





*Figure 9*: Seasonal distributions of elk and bison in and around the BNP bison reintroduction zone (90% utilization distributions, 2018-2021).

A focused bighorn sheep study also began soon after bison were reintroduced.<sup>18</sup> Fifteen bighorn sheep were radio collared throughout the bison reintroduction zone and another 25 collared elsewhere in BNP (196,103 locations). Bison and sheep were observed in close proximity in parts of the reintroduction zone, however home range maps showed low levels of spatial overlap between species.

Further analysis of habitat use revealed broad-scale resource separation, with sheep selecting higher elevations and steeper slopes than bison in all seasons (Figure 10). Using newly created sheep habitat models, the proportion of bison locations in higher quality sheep habitats was shown to fluctuate by season; the greatest proportions were seen in winter and spring as both species selected strongly for grassland habitat types.

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

Unlike more recent elk counts, bighorn sheep are relatively numerous in the area, with 364 individuals counted in the bison reintroduction zone during a larger aerial survey in 2020<sup>19</sup>. Though spatial overlap is low and the species are broadly separated by habitat selection, seasonal use of higher quality sheep habitat by bison could lead to range competition in some areas if bison numbers increase significantly.

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure 10**: Habitat partitioning between bighorn sheep and bison revealed by daily mean estimates of elevation and slope for bison (n = 11) and sheep (n=39) by season.

Efforts to track wolf response to the initial reintroduction of bison were hampered by high wolf mortality due to trapping outside the park.<sup>20</sup> However, two wolves were GPS-collared and survived for a few months before being trapped outside the Park in the first winter (2018/19) after bison were released. They approached the reintroduced animals repeatedly but their advances did not elicit corresponding movements from GPS-collared bison. Photos from Parks' remote camera network show a similar lack of response from reintroduced bison to the presence of wolves. In a couple of instances, curious juvenile bison males actually chased wolves.

Bison reintroduced elsewhere have positively impacted other wildlife (and soils and vegetation)<sup>21</sup>. Such ecosystem effects and the restoration of ecological integrity are also important goals for this project. Long term studies to quantify some of these effects (e.g. influence of bison grazing on breeding bird distribution and the structure of vegetation) are underway in BNP.

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 2.2.6 Bison Population Growth & Population Targets

Bison population growth during the pilot project averaged 33% per year and natural mortality was less than 1% per year. The removal of four dispersing males over the five-year pilot was also approximately 1% per year. As a result, the 16 translocated animals grew into a population of ~80 animals over the duration of the pilot.

Growth rates are expected to moderate somewhat as the predominantly young and female founding animals age and the sex ratio balances, but even the average growth rate for wild herds in North America (20%/yr.) would result in more than 200 animals within 8 years (Figure 11).

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

*Figure 11*: Actual population growth of Banff bison (33%) compared to average growth rate for North American wild herds (20%).

This kind of growth is encouraging for species recovery where hundreds or thousands of animals are needed to insulate against genetic drift, extreme weather, and other forces of extinction.<sup>22</sup> However, the population (and range) of every modern free-roaming plains bison herd in North America is limited by surrounding development and is ultimately managed by people, either through Indigenous and non-Indigenous harvest (e.g. Pink Mountain, B.C.; Henry Mountains, Utah), frequent roundups, relocations, auctions and removals (e.g. Grasslands and Elk Island national parks), or both (e.g. Yellowstone National Park).

The BNP situation is no different: although opportunities to expand bison range exist within and outside the Park, they will ultimately be limited by outlying agriculture, other human developments, and active management.

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 2.2.7 Public Awareness, Education & Appreciation

The story of bison reintroduction reached almost 120 million people (Table 3), in an effort aimed at expanding public appreciation for Park's Canada's role in conservation. This was achieved by transmitting the story from the backcountry, as it unfolded, in ways that delivered it to peoples' doorsteps and devices.

This included 13 webisodes (e.g. Free and Thriving<sup>23</sup>), digital storytelling (Bison Blog and associated social media posts), and over a dozen articles, and television and radio interviews in traditional media, all of which were received enthusiastically by the public. Collectively, an estimated 119.5 million people were reached with the Banff Bison story since the project began in 2017. This indicates a strong public awareness and appreciation of the bison pilot project amongst Canadians.

#### Table 3: Outreach and Education Initiatives – Plains Bison Reintroduction Pilot, 2017-2021

Initiatives	Product(s)	Number of completed	Estimated Reach:	
		between 2017-2021		
Digital	Video assets	(5) Webisodes	Over <b>270,000</b>	
Storytelling		(7) Short videos	thousand video views	
	Website content	(33) blog posts	Over 111,500	
		(5) web pages total	thousand unique	
			page visitors	
	Social Media	(57+) social media posts	Over 1.7 million	
			impressions	
	Email newsletters	(1) Parks Canada E-Blast	Over <b>2.3 million</b>	
			email contacts	
			distributed to	
Traditional	Media stories and	(3) Press conferences	Over 111 million	
Media	interest	(135+) Media requests	potential audience	
	Documentary film	(12) Documentary	Over <b>8.9 million</b>	
	Projects:	projects/films	potential audience	
Outreach and	Outreach events and	(40+) Events attended	Over <b>505,000</b>	
Education	partnerships	(4) Partnerships	thousand direct	
		(6) Hosted events	and/or non-personal	
			contacts	
	MountainWorld	(10) Online Programs	Over 11,184	
	Heritage Interpretive	delivered	thousand direct	
	Theater	(3) In-park Programs	contacts	
		developed		
	Non-personal media	(1) Xplorer's Book	Over <b>475,000</b>	
	products	(1) Backcountry Brochure	thousand products	
		(1) Trail head signage	distributed	
TOTALREACH	TOTAL REACH OF BISON COMMUNICATIONS DURING THE PILOT: 125.2 MILLION			

\**Reach*: The number of people who saw or engaged with the content/products

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### 2.2.8 Factors Influencing Bison Movement & Habitat Selection

A bison habitat assessment for the area was completed before the pilot began. Now. after having bison on the landscape for more than three years, we can compare predictions with actual habitat use. Assessment reasonably predicted winter bison use of low-elevation meadows and the bison's preference for forests burned in the last 15 years. However, it poorly predicted their habitat use in summer. The animals spent more time at higher elevations, on steeper slopes (Figure 12), in shrubs, and further

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

**Figure12** Much of the discrepancy between expected and actual use of habitat can be attributed to the bison's use of high elevations in summer.

from water than expected. These trends were surprising and demonstrated a remarkable adaptability for animals that were translocated from a non-mountainous environment.

The bison have consistently returned to high elevations during each peak growing season, which could be explained by an analysis of bison scat that found forage at high elevations to be nutritionally superior and more palatable to forage found at lower elevations in the summer. This is precisely what past research has shown for elk in the area<sup>24</sup>. Exploiting this difference is critical in June, July and August when plant nutrients peak and bison can recover from a declining winter body condition, and gain nourishment for lactation. Low inter-year differences in dietary efficiency shows just how adaptable the bison are: despite coming from a non-mountainous environment, they honed in on the altitudinal distribution of summertime nutrients almost immediately.

An updated Resource Selection Function for bison in the reintroduction zone now replaces the old habitat model.<sup>12</sup> Built from bison GPS collar data collected since the animals were released, it forms the basis for a new predictive bison movement model that projects where the animals would have gone if they had unimpeded access to areas beyond the target reintroduction zone (Figure 13). It accurately predicts their preferred areas of the reintroduction zone (e.g., middle Panther and lower Red Deer valleys) but also shows them strongly attracted to the nearby Ya Ha Tinda and Panther Corners areas outside the park (and to a lesser extent the Bow Valley in BNP). The model predicts that, without management interventions, individual bison would have exited the reintroduction zone and made their way to these areas within eight or nine months of release, significantly decreasing the time they explored (and presumably bonded to) the target reintroduction area.

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 13**: Predictions for where bison would have gone without drift fences or hazing (non-density dependent fitted step selection model simulating individual bison released from the soft release pasture 5000 times over a 3- year period<sup>12</sup>

### 3.0 Summary and Next Steps

#### Summary

The five-year pilot represents the most recent efforts of Parks Canada in supporting the recovery of plains bison and has identified that Banff National Park is a feasible location where bison can successfully exist on the landscape.

The 16 animals translocated from Elk Island National Park in 2017 adapted quickly to Banff's mountain environment, and remained healthy growing to ~80 animals during the 5-year project. The robust growth rate suggests the population could reach a point in the next 10 years where extinction from random catastrophic events (e.g., disease outbreaks or extreme weather events) or genetic drift is improbable. This is a significant accomplishment given plains bison occur in only five other isolated wild subpopulations, currently occupy less than 0.5% of their original range in North America<sup>25</sup>, and are rarely subject to natural selection. Banff National Park, with its full suite of native large carnivores, represents a unique and rare opportunity where this can still happen.<sup>25</sup>

The five-year bison reintroduction pilot set a strong foundation of knowledge and practice to support long-term feasibility. With these positive findings, it is recommended bison remain on the landscape in the area in a controlled and measured form.

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Next Steps**

Following the direction of the 2015 plan, and in support of the long-term feasibility of the bison program in Banff National Park, this report will be shared for public and Indigenous review, commencing with early review and engagement with key stakeholders to seek and obtain their perspectives on the future of bison.

It is anticipated that in addition to this report, engagement on the report will set the stage for bison management over the next 10 years and beyond, informed by Indigenous, stakeholder, and public input, and ongoing monitoring and research.

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

# 4.0 References

<sup>1</sup> Parks Canada Remote Camera Database. 2021. Unpublished data.

<sup>2</sup> Parks Canada 2010. Banff National Park Management Plan. 175pp. <u>https://www.pc.gc.ca/en/agence-agency/bib-lib/plans/docs2bi</u>

<sup>3</sup> Stoney Nakoda Nations. 2022. Enhancing the Reintroduction of Plains Bison in Banff National Park Through Cultural Monitoring and Traditional Knowledge. Final Report and Recommendations to Parks Canada. 102pp.

4 https://open.alberta.ca/publications/upper-red-deer-river-special-bison-area

<sup>5</sup> Rothenburger, J, and F.A. Leighton. 2012. Disease risk assessment for the reintroduction of Plains bison into Banff National Park. Report prepared by the Canadian Cooperative Wildlife Health Centre at the request of Parks Canada Agency. 47pp.

<sup>6</sup> Parks Canada. 2016. Bison Reintroduction Health Monitoring Strategy (2017-2022) Banff National Park. 32pp. (Included as Appendix 2 in the Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment for the project).

<sup>7</sup> Canadian Food Inspection Agency. 2017. Brucellosis testing results from bison in Elk Island National Park. January 20 2017.6 p-p.

<sup>8</sup> Canadian Food Inspection Agency. 2021. Brucellosis testing results from 8 free-roaming bison in Banff National Park. November 2021. 2pp.

<sup>9</sup> As per standards developed by the National Farm Animal Care Council. 2017. Code of Practice for the Care and Handling of Bison. 80pp.

<sup>10</sup> Canadian Cooperative Wildlife Health Centre . Unpublished data. 2021. Faecal parasite test results from reintroduced Banff Bison between 2017 and 2021.

<sup>11</sup> Heuer, K. and A. Ziervogel. 2019. Bison Excursion Prevention and Response Plan, Banff National Park. 19pp.

<sup>12</sup> Verzuh, T. and J. Merkle. 2021. Interim report on how bison develop home ranges in a novel environment in Banff National Park. University of Wyoming. 28pp.

<sup>13</sup> Ziervogel, A. and K. Heuer. In progress. Initial movements of a reintroduced bison herd in Banff National Park.

 $^{\rm 14}$  Watt, D. and K. Heuer. 2020. Low-stress stockmanship as a tool in the reintroduction of wild plains bison to

Banff National Park. Stockmanship Journal. 14pp.

<sup>15</sup> Laskin, D., Watt, D. Whittington, J. and K. Heuer. 2020. Designing a fence that enables free passage of wildlife while containing reintroduced bison: a multispecies evaluation. Wildlife Biology 2020:4 <u>https://bioone.org/journals/wildlife-biology/volume-2020/issue-4</u>

<sup>16</sup> Martin, H., M. Hebblewhite, and E. H. Merrill. 2020. LTREB: Ya Ha Tinda elk project. Dryad. <u>https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.6wwpzgmw7</u>

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

<sup>17</sup> Martin, H. and M. Hebblewhite. 2021.Elk (Cervus canadensis) and Bison (Bison bison) Share Space and Resources Without Evidence of Competitive Exclusion Following Bison Reintroduction to Banff National Park. Report prepared for Parks Canada. 8pp.

<sup>18</sup> White, P. 2023. Interim Report to Parks Canada on Bison-Bighorn Sheep Interactions in Banff National Park. University of British Columbia. 28pp.

<sup>19</sup> S. Stevens and K. Heuer. 2020. Bighorn Sheep Aerial Survey, Banff National Park, Winter 2020: A Summary Report. Parks Canada. 27 pp.

<sup>20</sup> Hebblewhite, M., and J. Whittington. 2020. Wolves Without Borders: Transboundary Survival of Wolves in Banff National Park over Three Decades. Global Ecology and Conservation 24:e01293.

<sup>21</sup> Alan K. Knapp, John M. Blair, John M. Briggs, Scott L. Collins, David C. Hartnett, Loretta C. Johnson and E. Gene Towne. 1999. Bio Science. Vol 49, No. 1, pp. 39-50

<sup>22</sup> See Cherry SG, Merkle JA, Sigaud M, Fortin D, Wilson GA. Managing Genetic Diversity and Extinction Risk for a Rare Plains Bison (Bison bison bison) Population. Environ Manage. 2019 Nov;64(5):553-563. doi:10.1007/s00267-019-01206-2. Epub 2019 Oct 2. PMID: 31578626 *but also* Brook, B. W., Traill, L. W., & Bradshaw, C. J. (2006). Minimum viable population sizes and global extinction risk are unrelated. *Ecology Letters*, 9(4), 375–382. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00883.x

23https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SKOYscL09s4

<sup>24</sup> Hebblewhite, M., E. H. Merrill, and G. McDermid. 2008. A mutli-scale test of the forage maturation hy pothesis for a partially migratory montane elk population. Ecological Monographs **78**:141-166.

<sup>25</sup> Gates, C.C., C.H. Freese, P.J.P. Gogan, and M. Zotzman (eds,), 2010. American Bison: Status Survey and Conservation Guidelines 2010. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN.